

RAMANUJAN'S IDENTITIES, MINIMAL SURFACES AND SOLITONS

RUKMINI DEY

ABSTRACT. Using Ramanujan's identities and the Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces, and the analogue for Born-Infeld solitons, we derive further non-trivial identities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Using some of Ramanujan's identities and the Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces, and the analogue for Born-Infeld solitons, we obtain non-trivial identities. They have the feature that most of them depend on just one complex parameter. Ramanujan's identities were first used in the context of minimal surfaces perhaps by Kamien, [5].

The identities we obtain in this paper are:

1) For $\zeta \neq \pm 1, \pm i$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \zeta^2}{1 - \zeta^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln \left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta} \right) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln \left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta} \right) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) For $r, s \neq \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln \left(\frac{(k - \frac{1}{2})\pi - i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k - \frac{1}{2})\pi - (+\tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s))} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln \left(\frac{(k - \frac{1}{2})\pi + i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k - \frac{1}{2})\pi + \tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

3) For $\zeta \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Im}(\ln \zeta) - \tan^{-1} \left[\tanh \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \right) \cot \left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)}{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + k\pi} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right)}{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) - k\pi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

4) For $\zeta \neq \pm e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{4}}$, there exists two integers m, n such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n\pi + \operatorname{Im} \ln(\frac{\zeta^2 + \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1}{\zeta^2 - \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Im} \tan^{-1}\zeta^2}{m\pi + 2\operatorname{Re} \arctan(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{2}}{1-\zeta^2}) + k\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

2. THE IDENTITIES

Recall the Weierstrass-Enneper representation [6] (page 147), [2], of minimal surfaces, namely, in the neighborhood of a nonumbilic interior point, any minimal surface can be represented as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\zeta) &= \tilde{x}_0 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (1 - w^2)R(w) dw \\ y(\zeta) &= \tilde{y}_0 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} i(1 + w^2)R(w) dw \\ z(\zeta) &= \tilde{z}_0 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} 2wR(w) dw \end{aligned}$$

Here ζ is a complex parameter and $R(w)$ is a meromorphic function. This is an isothermal representation (w.r.t. ζ_1 and ζ_2 where $\zeta = \zeta_1 + i\zeta_2$). In [2] and [3], we show, using hodographic coordinates, how to compute the $R(w)$ for minimal surfaces which are given locally by a graph $z = z(x, y)$.

Recall, that the Gaussian curvature is given by $K = -4|R(w)|^{-2}(1 + |w|^2)^{-4}$. Thus the umbilical points correspond to the poles of R , [6] (pages 148 and 472). This is precisely where the representation fails.

2.1. The first identity. We have Ramanujan's identity, [7], Example (1) page 38, where X, A are complex, A is not an odd multiple of $\pi/2$:

$$\frac{\cos(X+A)}{\cos(A)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - A}\right) \left(1 + \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + A}\right) \right\}.$$

We take $\ln$ on both sides, to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln\left(\frac{\cos(X+A)}{\cos(A)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(1 - \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - A}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(1 + \frac{X}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + A}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - (X+A)}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - A}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + (X+A)}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + A}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The Scherk's second surface is given by $z = \ln(\frac{\cos(t)}{\cos(x)})$ (see Nitsche, equation number (27), page 71).

Let $X + A = y$ and $A = x$ in Ramanujan's identity.

Then, if x is not an odd multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, we have,

$$\ln\left(\frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(x)}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log\left(\frac{y - (k-\frac{1}{2})\pi}{x - (k-\frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log\left(\frac{y + (k-\frac{1}{2})\pi}{x + (k-\frac{1}{2})\pi}\right)$$

Now since the left hand side is the height function of a minimal surface, we can use its Weierstrass-Enneper representation.

$R(w) = \frac{2}{(1-w^4)}$ leads to the Scherk's second minimal surface, $z = \ln(\frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(x)})$, [6], (page 71, 148). This non-parametric representation is valid in the domain :

$$\{(x, y) : |\sqrt{2}(x - y) - 4m\pi| < \pi, |\sqrt{2}(x + y) - 4n\pi| < \pi\}$$

where $m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$.

If we perform the integrals given by the W-E representation formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(\zeta) &= x_0 + 2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) \\ y(\zeta) &= y_0 - \operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) \\ z(\zeta) &= z_0 + \operatorname{Re} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we take $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} x(\zeta) &= 2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) \\ y(\zeta) &= -\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) \\ z(\zeta) &= \operatorname{Re} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\ln(Z) = \ln|Z| + i\theta = \ln|Z| + i \tan^{-1}(\frac{\operatorname{Im} Z}{\operatorname{Re} Z})$ where $Z = |Z|e^{i\theta}$, for Z any complex number, one can easily check that in the above parametrization, with $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$,

$$z = \ln\left(\frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(x)}\right)$$

This parametrization fails precisely at $\zeta = \pm 1, \pm i$, the umbilical points of the minimal surface (since these are precisely the poles of $R(w)$).

Proposition 2.1. *Our first identity for $\zeta \neq \pm 1, \pm i$ is the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Substituting the W-E in Ramanujan's identity, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{\cos(-\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right))}{\cos(2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta))}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{-\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get our first identity. □

Notice that the transformations $y \rightarrow -y$ or $x \rightarrow -x$ or $x, y \rightarrow -x, -y$ give the same height function $z = \ln(\frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(x)})$ and hence give new identities or different ways of writing the same identities.

For instance, $y \rightarrow -y$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2}{1-\zeta^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{+\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{+2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) - (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{+\operatorname{Im} \ln\left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{+2\operatorname{Re} \tan^{-1}(\zeta) + (k - \frac{1}{2})\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

2.2. The second identity. Notice that the minimal surface equation is just the wick rotated Born Infeld equation. We exploited this fact in [2], [3].

If the minimal surface is given by $z = z(x, t)$ locally, then it follows the equation

$$(1 + z_t^2)z_{xx} - 2z_x z_t z_{xt} + (1 + z_x^2)z_{tt} = 0$$

The Born-Infeld solitons follow the equation

$$(1 - z_t^2)z_{xx} + 2z_x z_t z_{xt} - (1 + z_x^2)z_{tt} = 0$$

which can be obtained from the first equation by wick rotation, namely, $t \rightarrow it$.

Thus, if $z = \ln(\frac{\cos(t)}{\cos(x)})$ is a solution of the minimal surface equation, then $z = \ln(\frac{\cos(it)}{\cos(x)}) = \ln(\frac{\cosh(t)}{\cos(x)})$ is a solution of the B-I equation.

(We let x, t and z to be complex.)

We can find the analogue of the Weierstrass-Enneper representation of the B-I solitons in Whitham, [8], page 617, (based on a method by Barbishov and Chernikov, [1]).

Following their calculation for $z = \ln(\frac{\cosh(t)}{\cos(x)})$ we get $z_x = \tan x$, $z_t = \tanh(t)$.
 $u = \frac{z_x - z_t}{2}$ and $v = \frac{z_x + z_t}{2}$.

Let $r = \frac{\sqrt{1+4uv}-1}{2v}$ and $s = \frac{\sqrt{1+4uv}-1}{2u}$.

Then $u = \frac{r}{1-rs}$ and $v = \frac{s}{1-rs}$.

Then $\tan(x) = \frac{r+s}{1-rs}$ and $\tanh(t) = \frac{s-r}{1-rs}$.

In other words,

$$x = x_0 + \tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s)$$

$$t = t_0 - \tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s)$$

$$z = z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2}\right)$$

Here, $F(r) = \tan^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(r)$ and $G(s) = \tan^{-1}(s) + \tanh^{-1}(s)$, (notation as in [8]). Also, r and s are complex parameters, since x, t and z are complex variables.

Again taking $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$, we get a parametrization for the complex soliton $z = \ln(\frac{\cosh(t)}{\cos(x)})$. (Easy to check this).

$$x = \tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s)$$

$$t = -\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s)$$

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2}\right)$$

Note that if one takes a special relation between the parameters $r = \bar{s} = \zeta$ and $y = it$ then we get back the parametrization of $z = \ln(\frac{\cos y}{\cos x})$.

Proposition 2.2. *We have our second identity, i.e. for $r, s \neq \pm 1$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - (\tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s))}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + \tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Ramanujan's identity (which we used to get our first identity) we have:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\cosh(t)}{\cos(x)}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - it}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - x}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + it}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + x}\right)$$

Substituting in Ramanujan's identity, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{\cosh(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{\cos(\tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s))}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi - (\tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s))}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + i(-\tanh^{-1}(r) + \tanh^{-1}(s))}{(k-\frac{1}{2})\pi + \tan^{-1}(r) + \tan^{-1}(s)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have our second identity. $\square$

2.3. The third identity. By Ramanujan's identity, [7] page 39, [5], for A and B real, we have,

$$\tan^{-1}[\tanh A \cot B] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{B + k\pi}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\tan^{-1}(\tanh t \cot x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{x + k\pi}\right).$$

Separating the $k = 0$ term, which gives the the height function of the helicoid, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right) &= \tan^{-1}[\tanh t \cot x] \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{x + k\pi}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{x - k\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using the Weierstrass Enneper representation of the helicoid, [3], we get

$$x = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta})$$

$$t = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})$$

$$z = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \text{Im}(\ln \zeta)$$

Correction: In [3], we missed out $z_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$.

This representation is invalid at $\zeta = 0$.

Substituting this W-E representation in $z = \tan^{-1} \frac{t}{x}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\pi}{2} + \text{Im}(\ln \zeta) &= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})}{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta})} \right) = \\ &\tan^{-1} \left[\tanh \left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta}) \right) \cot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})}{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) + k\pi} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})}{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) - k\pi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get our third identity, namely,

Proposition 2.3. For $\zeta \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\pi}{2} + \text{Im}(\ln \zeta) - \tan^{-1} \left[\tanh \left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta}) \right) \cot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) \right) \right] \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})}{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) + k\pi} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta})}{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}) - k\pi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2.4. The fourth identity. By Ramanujan's identity, [7] page 39, [5], for A and B real,

$$\tan^{-1} [\tanh A \cot B] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{A}{B + k\pi} \right).$$

The Scherk's first surface is given by

$$\tanh \left(\frac{z}{a} \right) = \tan \left(\frac{x}{a \cos(\alpha)} \right) \tan \left(\frac{y}{a \sin(\alpha)} \right)$$

. This non-parametric representation is valid in the domain

$$\left\{ (x, y) : \left| \frac{x}{a \cos(\alpha)} - \frac{y}{a \sin(\alpha)} - 2m\pi \right| < \frac{a\pi}{2}, \left| \frac{x}{a \cos(\alpha)} + \frac{y}{a \sin(\alpha)} - 2n\pi \right| < \frac{a\pi}{2} \right\}$$

where $m, n = 0 \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{a \cos(\alpha)} &= \tan^{-1} \left(\tanh \left(\frac{z}{a} \right) \cot \left(\frac{y}{a \sin(\alpha)} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{z \sin(\alpha)}{y + a \sin(\alpha) k\pi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Nitsche, [6], page 148 and page 70, $R(w) = \frac{-2a i \sin(2\alpha)}{1 + 2w^2 \cos(2\alpha) + w^4}$ in the Weierstrass-Enneper representation with $0 < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $a > 0$, leads to the Scherk's first minimal surface.

Even though one can perform the W-E integrals for a general α , we choose $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Performing the integrals, [4], page 74 and 84, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} x(\zeta) &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}(x_0 + \text{Imln}(\frac{\zeta^2 + \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1}{\zeta^2 - \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1})) \\ y(\zeta) &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}(y_0 + 2\text{Re}(\arctan(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{2}}{1 - \zeta^2}))) \\ z(\zeta) &= a(z_0 + 2\text{Imtan}^{-1}\zeta^2) \end{aligned}$$

By a suitable choice of (x_0, y_0, z_0) this minimal surface satisfies the equation

$$\tanh(\frac{z}{a}) = \tan(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{a})\tan(\frac{\sqrt{2}y}{a})$$

The surface passes through x_0, y_0, z_0 at $\zeta = 0$.

This representation is invalid at the four points $\zeta = \pm e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{4}}$ which correspond to the umbilical points of the minimal surface (poles of R).

Substituting in Ramanujan's identity, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{2}(x_0 + \text{Imln}(\frac{\zeta^2 + \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1}{\zeta^2 - \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1}))}{a} \\ &= \tan^{-1}(\tanh(\frac{z_0 + 2\text{Imtan}^{-1}\zeta^2}{a})\cot(\sqrt{2}(\frac{y_0 + 2\text{Re}(\arctan(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{2}}{1 - \zeta^2}))}{a}))) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}(\frac{z_0 + 2\text{Imtan}^{-1}\zeta^2}{\sqrt{2}(y_0 + 2\text{Re arctan}(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{2}}{1 - \zeta^2})) + ak\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

We take $a = \sqrt{2}$. To find x_0, y_0, z_0 we try various values of ζ .

First note that if $\zeta = \zeta_1$ any real number, we have the identity

$\tan(x_0) = \tanh(\frac{z_0}{\sqrt{2}})\cot(y_0 + 2\tan^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{2}\zeta_1}{1 - \zeta_1^2}))$ for all ζ_1 real. This can be true only if $z_0 = 0$ and $x_0 = n\pi$.

Next we try $\zeta = \zeta_2$ purely imaginary.

Let $C_1 = \text{Imln}(\frac{\zeta_2^2 + \sqrt{2}\zeta_2 + 1}{\zeta_2^2 - \sqrt{2}\zeta_2 + 1})$, $C_2 = 2\text{Re}(\arctan(\frac{\zeta_2\sqrt{2}}{1 - \zeta_2^2})) = 0$, $C_3 = 2\text{Imtan}^{-1}\zeta_2^2 = 0$.

Then $\tan(n\pi + C_1) = \tanh(\frac{z_0}{\sqrt{2}}) \cdot \cot(y_0)$ or, $\tan(y_0) \cdot \tan(n\pi + C_1) = \tanh(\frac{z_0}{\sqrt{2}})$.

Since $z_0 = 0$, $y_0 = m\pi$.

m, n could be fixed by taking $\zeta = \zeta_3, \zeta_4$ two arbitrary complex numbers.

Thus we get our fourth identity:

Proposition 2.4. For $\zeta \neq \pm e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{4}}$, there exists two integers m, n such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n\pi + \text{Imln}(\frac{\zeta^2 + \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1}{\zeta^2 - \sqrt{2}\zeta + 1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \tan^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{2}\text{Imtan}^{-1}\zeta^2}{m\pi + 2\text{Re arctan}(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{2}}{1 - \zeta^2}) + k\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

3. CORRECTION TO A PREVIOUS PAPER

There are corrections to the paper, Dey [2].

1. The method of deriving the W-E representation adapted in this paper is due to Barbishov and Chernikov [1] and not Whitham (as erroneously mentioned in the abstract). In [1], Barbishov and Chernikov develop this method in the context of Born-Infeld solitons, which is outlined by Whitham in [8].

2. The method fails precisely when $\phi_{zz}\phi_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - (\phi_{z\bar{z}})^2 = 0$ (as explained in the paper). By a calculation, one shows that $\phi_{zz}\phi_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - (\phi_{z\bar{z}})^2 = (\phi_{xx}\phi_{yy} - \phi_{xy}^2)$ and thus the method breaks down precisely when $(\phi_{xx}\phi_{yy} - \phi_{xy}^2) = 0$, i.e. at the umbilical points. This is in accordance with the usual derivation of Weierstrass-Enneper representation of minimal surfaces. I had mistakenly mentioned in [3] that they are two different conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barbishov, B.M. and Chernikov, N.A.. Solution of the two plane wave scattering problem in a non-linear scalar field theory of the Born-Infeld type; Soviet Physics J.E.T.P., 24, 437-442.
- [2] Dey, R. : The Weierstrass-Enneper representation using hodographic coordinates on a minimal surfaces; Proc. of Indian Acad. of Sci. – Math.Sci. Vol.113, No.2, May (2003), pg 189-193; math.DG/0309340.
- [3] Dey, R. and Kumar, P. : One parameter family of solitons from minimal surfaces; Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., vol 123, no.1, pg. 55-65, 2013; arXiv:1204.5875
- [4] Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Seventh Edition, Elsevier, 2007.
- [5] Kamien, R.: Decomposition of the Height Function of Scherk's First Surface; Appl.Math.Lett. 14 (2001), pg 797.
- [6] Nitsche J.C.C.: Lectures on Minimal surfaces, Volume 1, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [7] Ramanujan, S. : Ramanujan's Notebooks, (edited by Bruce C. Berndt), Part I, Chapter 2.
- [8] Whitham, G.B. : Linear and Non-linear Waves, Wiley Interscience, 1999, page 617.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HARISH CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, ALLAHABAD, 211019, INDIA, RKMN@MRI.ERNET.IN